

United States Patent and Trademark Office

da

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,626	j	01/24/2002	Kenji Fukuda	8001-1009	3592
466	7590	11/30/2006		EXAM	(ER
YOUNG	G & THOM	PSON	BAYERL, RAYMOND J		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		I, VA 22202		2173	
				DATE MAILED: 11/30/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/053,626	FUKUDA, KENJI	FUKUDA, KENJI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Raymond J. Bayerl	2173		

Toylor C. Dayer	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN COND	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a N this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendr places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the linar rejection.	set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In he mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37	must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set AMENDMENTS	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing	a a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sentence of non-allowable claim(s). 	eparate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or t	b) Will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	, _
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 2, 4, 6 - 9, 13 - 16, 18.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fi because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why th was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	lling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered e affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prientered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und	or to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier prese	ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. 🗍 Other:	DAVAGOND I DAVEDI
	RAYMOND J. BAYERL
	PRIMARY EXAMINER
27 November 2000	ART UNIT 2173

27 November 2006

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/053,626

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues include those raised by the proposal to amend the independent claims such that the "display style information" is "determined by a display function of teach [sic, 'each' is presumed, claim 1] of said plurality of user terminals". Prior to final rejection the display styles in the claims could have been from a variety of needs at the client side, such as is seen in both Popa and Johnson.